

Abstract #:	Judging category:	Judges: Circle one number for each aspect of the poster presentation				
Student Name:	1 = Needs Work					
Poster Title:	3 = Very Good					
		5 = Outstanding				
1. Abstract and Title:		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Example criteria:</i> Clear and concise summary of project Proper grammar, spelling, structure Scientific writing style		<i>Judge's comments:</i>				
2. Visual Aspects of Poster Design:		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Example criteria:</i> Good balance of background and new information Clear and understandable graphs, tables and figures Well-organized text and visual material Design contributes to understanding of research Accurate spelling, grammar, and scientific terminology		<i>Judge's comments:</i>				
3. Oral presentation by student:		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Example criteria:</i> Uses appropriate scientific and technical terms Articulates objectives of project and/or hypotheses Explains experimental rationale, data collection and analysis Responds to questions with references to relevant scientific concepts <i>Optional: Group members participated equally in presentation</i>		<i>Judge's comments:</i>				
4. Poster and oral presentation – student's background knowledge:		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Example criteria:</i> Explains relevant scientific concepts Analyzes previous work Explains measurement procedures; proper use of equipment; safety Compares this work to other research		<i>Judge's comments:</i>				
5. Poster and oral presentation – critical analysis:		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Example criteria:</i> Conclusions are supported by data presented Research methods are appropriate for questions asked Knows limitations of data and/or analysis Suggests and analyzes alternative explanations Suggests next steps / future directions for the research		<i>Judge's comments:</i>				
6. Research achievement:		1	2	3	4	5
<i>Example criteria:</i> Difficulty of the project is appropriate for an undergraduate student Student contributed independently to the project (or each student in group) Results are likely to represent a significant scientific contribution		<i>Judge's comments:</i>				
7. Overall impression of this presentation compared with other presenters (not necessarily based directly on scores above – circle one):					Total (add scores above):	
I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	/30	
Needs Improvement	Good	Very good	Excellent	Outstanding		

8. Comments (continue on reverse): How might this student improve this presentation?

Please return completed forms to judging room.

Copies of completed forms will be provided to students after the Forum.